DNA BARCODING STUDY ON *Barleria acuminata* Ness AN ENDEMIC MEDICINAL PLANT OF PACHAMALAI HILLS, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

[1]*V. Karthikeyan, [2]A. Baskaran, [3]C. Sebastian Rajasekaran

P.G and Research Department of Botany, Bishop Heber College, Tiruchirappalli-620017, Tamil Nadu, India
E-mail: kkarthikeyan136@gmail.com

Abstract: Barleria acuminata **Ness belongs to the family Acanthaceae which is endemic distribution. Presence of this plant in pachamalai hills a part of Eastern Ghats, located in Tiruchirappalli District of Tamil Nadu, India. The rbcL gene is widely used in systematic studies to resolve divergences at many taxonomic levels. The collected** *B. acuminata* **were subjected to sequence analysis of rbcL gene and the same was deposited in Gene Bank and an accession number has been assigned for the same (Accession No. KR337263). The data was further analyzed for the construction of neighbor joining tree and to infer the evolutionary divergence among the maximum identical sequences retrieved from NCBI Gene Bank through BLAST search. The results of the search revealed that the rbcL sequence of** *B. acuminata* **has not been sequenced earlier from any part of this world. It is obvious that the rbcL sequence of** *B. acuminata* **may be used for the identification of this species reported from any part of the world through BLAST analysis if the identical sequences are submitted to Gene Bank in future.**

Keywords: **rbcL gene, Gene Bank,** *Barleria acuminate*.

1.   INTRODUCTION

 The large and primarily tropical plant family Acanthaceae contains at least 4000 species, placing it among the top 12 or so most diverse families of flowering plants. The group is part of the order Lamiales, which includes familiar plants such as snapdragons (*Antirrhinum*, Plantaginaceae), mints (Lamiaceae), African violets (Gesneriaceae), and monkey flowers (*Mimulus*, Phyrmaceae). Notably, as a result of the largely tropical distribution of Acanthaceae, species-level diversity (as well as some generic diversity) remains poorly understood, and there is little doubt that many new species remain to be discovered. A wide variety of essential and medicinally active ingredients have been identified and extracted from some members of the family. Many scientists have worked on some members of the family and have discovered some very essential active ingredients present in them [1].

In DNA barcoding, a short DNA sequence is used as a molecular marker for identifying the diversity that exists among plant and animal species. An internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nuclear ribosomal cistron is the most commonly used sequence locus for plant molecular systematic investigations [2]. Many chloroplast, mitochondrial and nuclear genes have been utilized for studying sequence variation at genus level. Among these genes *rbcL* gene sequence have been analyzed by various workers to address plant systematics [3]. RbcL- (Ribulose-1, 5-Bisphosphate Carboxylase) is widely used in phylogenetic investigations with over 50000 sequences available in Genbank. The advantages of this gene are that it is easy to amplify, sequence and align in most land plants and is a good DNA barcoding region for plants at the family and genus levels. However, *rbcL* sequences evolve slowly and this locus has by far the lowest divergence of plastid genes in flowering plants [4]. Consequently, it is not suitable at the species level due to its modest discriminatory power [5, 6, 7,

and 8]. The length of the gene can also be problematic as double-stranded sequencing of the entire gene sequence may require four primers. Despite these limitations, *rbcL* was still suggested as one of the best potential candidate plant barcodes based on the straightforward recovery of the gene sequence, the large amount of easily accessible data and good, but not outstanding, discriminatory power [9, 10] even though it was previously rejected as a target for species identification[11, 12, 13]. Although *rbcL* by itself does not meet the desired attributes of a barcoding locus, it is accepted that *rbcL* in combination with various plastid or nuclear loci can make accurate identifications [14, 15, 16, 17].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

 Pachaimalai hills is situated to the north of Thuraiyur taluk of Tiruchirappalli districts at latitudes 11˚09' 00 " to 11˚27' 00" N and longitudes 78˚28' 00" to 78˚49' 00" E and occupy an area of about 527.61 sq. Km. Climate is tropical with temperature ranging between 25 to 30˚C and a minimum temperature range 12 to 18˚C and annual rain fall of 800 – 900 mm in the altitude of 1015 MSL. It has dry mixed deciduous forests. The area is marked by the presence of crystalline rocks of the Archaean age comprising gneisses, charnokites and granites with little soil cover of red loamy and black. The crystalline terrain exhibits multispectral and poly metamorphic complexity. According to there are three types of sedimentary rocks in pachaimalai hills based on their period of origin [18]. For the present study Barleria acuminata belonging to Acanthaceae Family were collected. Keeping in view the criteria for the collection, fresh leaves were collected from similar environmental conditions for DNA isolation studies. Young leaves of the respective species were collected in sterile Ziploc bags and stored at -20 °C until further use.

DNA isolation using NucleoSpin® Plant II Kit (Macherey-Nagel**)** About 100 mg of the tissue is homogenized using liquid nitrogen and the powdered tissue is transferred to a microcentrifuge tube. Four hundred microlitres of buffer PL1 is added and vortexed for 1 minute. Ten microlitres of RNase A solution is added and inverted to mix. The homogenate is incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes. The lysate is transferred to a Nucleospin filter and centrifuged at 11000 x g for 2 minutes. The flow through liquid is collected and the filter is discarded. Four hundred and fifty microlitres of buffer PC is added and mixed well. The solution is transferred to a Nucleospin Plant II column, centrifuged for 1 minute and the flow through liquid is discarded. Four hundred microlitre buffer PW1 is added to the column, centrifuged at 11000 x g for 1 minute and flow though liquid is discarded. Then 700 µl PW2 is added, centrifuged at 11000 x g and flow through liquid is discarded. Finally 200 µl of PW2 is added and centrifuged at 11000 x g for 2 minutes to dry the silica membrane. The column is transferred to a new 1.7 ml tube and 50 µl of buffer PE is added and incubated at 65°C for 5 minutes. The column is then centrifuged at 11000 x g for 1 minute to elute the DNA. The eluted DNA was stored at 4°C.

Agarose Gel Electrophoresis for DNA Quality check. The quality of the DNA isolated was checked using agarose gel electrophoresis. 1µl of 6X gel-loading buffer (0.25% bromophenol blue, 30% sucrose in TE buffer pH-8.0) was added to 5µl of DNA. The samples were loaded to 0.8% agarose gel prepared in 0.5X TBE (Tris-Borate-EDTA) buffer containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. Electrophoresis was performed with 0.5X TBE as electrophoresis buffer at 75 V until bromophenol dye front has migrated to the bottom of the gel. The gels were visualized in a UV transilluminator (Genei) and the image was captured under UV light using Gel documentation system (Bio-Rad) (Figure 1) PCR amplification reactions were carried out in a 20 µl reaction volume which contained 1X Phire PCR buffer (contains 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$), 0.2mM each dNTPs (dATP, dGTP, dCTP and dTTP), 1 µl DNA, 0.2 µl Phire Hotstart II DNA polymerase enzyme, 0.1 mg/ml BSA and 3% DMSO, 0.5M Betaine, 5pM of forward and reverse primers. The PCR amplification was carried out in a PCR thermal cycler (GeneAmp PCR System 9700, Applied Biosystems).Forward primer CGATCTATTCATTCAATATTTC and Reverse primer TCTAGCACACGAAAGTCGAAGT. PCR amplification profile for matK 98oC-30 sec, 98oC-5 sec, 50oC-10 sec and 72oC-15 sec for 30 cycles, 72oC- 60 sec and stored at 4oC.

Agarose Gel electrophoresis of PCR products were checked in 1.2% agarose gels prepared in 0.5X TBE buffer containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. 1 µl of 6X loading dye was mixed with 5 µl of PCR products and was loaded and electrophoresis was performed at 75V power supply with 0.5X TBE as electrophoresis buffer for about 1-2 hours, until the bromophenol blue front had migrated to almost the bottom of the gel. The molecular standard used was 2-log DNA ladder (NEB). The gels were visualized in a UV transilluminator (Genei) and the image was captured under UV light using Gel documentation system (Bio-Rad). Consists of two hydrolytic enzymes, Exonuclease I and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (SAP), in a specially formulated buffer for the removal of unwanted primers and dNTPs from a PCR product mixture with no interference in downstream applications. Five micro litres of PCR product is mixed with 2 µl of ExoSAP-IT and incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes followed by enzyme inactivation at 80°C for 15 minutes

[19].Sequencing using BigDye Terminator v3.1 sequencing reaction was done in a PCR thermal cycler (GeneAmp PCR System 9700, Applied Biosystems) [20]. The PCR mix consisted of the following components: PCR Product (ExoSAP treated) - 10-20 ng, Primer - 3.2 pM (either Forward or Reverse) Sequencing Mix - 0.28 µl , 5x Reaction buffer -1.86 µl, Sterile distilled water- make up to 10µl. The sequencing PCR temperature profile consisted of a 1st cycle at 96°C for 2 minutes followed by 30 cycles at 96°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 40 sec and 60°C for 4 minutes for all the primers.

Post Sequencing PCR Clean up Make master mix I of 10µl milli Q and 2 µl 125mM EDTA per reaction. Add 12µl of master mix I to each reaction containing 10µl of reaction contents and are properly mixed. Make master mix II of 2 µl of 3M sodium acetate pH 4.6 and 50 µl of ethanol per reaction. Add 52 µl of master mix II to each reaction. Contents are mixed by inverting. Incubate at room temperature for 30 minutes Spin at 14,000 rpm for 30 minutes decant the supernatant and add 100 µl of 70% ethanol   Spin at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes. Decant the supernatant and repeat 70% ethanol wash Decant the supernatant and air dry the pellet. The cleaned up air dried product was sequenced in ABI 3500 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sequence quality was checked using Sequence Scanner Software v1 (Applied Biosystems). Sequence alignment and required editing of the obtained sequences were carried out using Geneious Pro v5.1. [21].The sequenced rbcL of *B. acuminate* was deposited in Gene Bank (Accession Number: KR337263). Identical sequences of rbcL were obtained from Gene Bank using BLAST algorithm and of them the sequences with maximum identity (whose Accession Numbers are: KR861703, KF890170, KF890169, KJ667661, L01886, L12594, AB58666151, AM234922, AB586155, DQ017800, DQ017776, DQ017776, DQ017774, L02434, L01935, kF848223, KF848222, KC628217, JQ922118) Evolutionary relationships of taxa .The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method [22]. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 0.14971236 is shown. (Next to the branches). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method [23] and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The analysis involved 20 nucleotide sequences. There were a total of 689 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 [24].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plant *Barleria acuminata* Ness belongs to the family Acanthaceae collected from pachamalai hills. Approximately 700bp DNA was isolated during the quality check through agarose gel electrophoresis method. The gene amplification adopted in the present study yielded enough quantity of DNA for further sequence analysis of rbcL. The rbcL gene had 697bp and the same sequence had been deposited in the Gene Bank with the Accession Number (KR337263). This study provided an opportunity to utilize rbcL sequence for identification of this species in future. During the BLAST search no sequence matches for this gene could be identified from databases on plant. Hence it may be concluded that the rbcL sequence of *B. acuminata* Nees was a first record for Gene Bank.

The estimated value of the shape parameter for the discrete Gamma Distribution is 0.0657. Substitution pattern and rates were estimated under the [25] model (+G) [26]. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories, [+*G*]). Mean evolutionary rates in these categories were 0.00, 0.00, 0.00, 0.07, 4.92 substitutions per site. The nucleotide frequencies are A = 28.63%, T/U = 28.11%, C = 20.57%, and G = 22.69%. For estimating ML values, a tree topology was automatically computed. The maximum Log likelihood for this computation was -1616.834. The analysis involved 20 nucleotide sequences. Clustered sequences showed 1860 conserved sites, 193 variable sites, 89 parsim-info sites, 104 singleton sites of 529bp. Further the results revealed that there were 1313 zero-fold sites, 410 two-fold sites and 173 four-fold sites. Of the 529bp sites, 689 sites showed 100 % coverage.

The results of NJ analysis of 697bp fragment of the rbcL gene belonged to *B.acuminata* Nees with the twenty sequences obtained through BLAST showed different branch lengths in the Phenogram. Maximum identical sequences were not available for *B. acuminata* Nees in this NJ analysis (Fig. 1). The evolutionary history was inferred using the NJ method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length was 0.14971236. The tree was drawn to scale, with branch lengths (next to the branches) in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method [27] and were in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. A detailed estimate of evolutionary divergence of rbcL sequence of with their similar sequences through BLAST search is provided. The results on the distance analysis indicated that the overall average for all species *B. acuminata* Nees was 0.028. The maximum evolutionary distance observed between *Harveya capensis* and *B. acuminata* Nees was 0.044 (Table 2).

Figure 1: Neighbor-Joining (NJ) analysis of rbcL sequence of *B. acuminata* with their similar sequences through BLAST search.

Table 1: Estimate of Evolutionary Divergence of rbcL sequence of *B. acuminata* with their similar sequences through BLAST search.

S.no	Name of the species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1.	Barleria acuminata (KR337263)																				
2.	Barleria longiflora (KR861703)	0.000																			
3.	Barleria cristata (KF890170)	0.000	0.000																		
4.	Barleria cuspidate (KF890169)	0.007	0.007	0.007																	
5.	Barleria cristata (KJ667661)	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.000																
6.	Barleria prionitis (L01886)	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.003	0.003															
7.	Lepidagathis villosa (L12594)	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.022														
8.	Barleria Sp. (AB58666151)	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.016	0.016	0.019	0.030													
9.	Rhigozum obovatum (AM234922)	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.041	0.031												
10.	Ruellia Sp. (AB586155)	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.036	0.027	0.036											
11.	Harveya capensis (DQ017800)	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.044	0.030	0.025	0.039										
12.	Harveya stenosiphon (DQ017776)	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.044	0.030	0.025	0.039	0.000									
13.	Harveya stenosiphon (DQ017776)	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.044	0.030	0.025	0.039	0.000	0.000								
14.	Harveya speciose (DQ017774)	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.044	0.030	0.025	0.039	0.000	0.000	0.000							
15.	Ruttya fruticosa (L02434)	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.033	0.033	0.036	0.039	0.031	0.039	0.031	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036						
16.	Nelsonia campestris (L01935)	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.036	0.025	0.025	0.027	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.037					
17.	Avicennia marina (kF848223)	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.041	0.024	0.025	0.031	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.037	0.018				
18.	Avicennia alba (KF848222)	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.040	0.024	0.025	0.031	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.037	0.018	0.006			
19.	Acanthacea Sp. (KC628217)	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.031	0.031	0.034	0.041	0.027	0.044	0.030	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.036	0.033	0.033		
20.	Andrographis paniculata (JQ922118)	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.040	0.019	0.042	0.040	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.042	0.039	0.037	0.037	0.037	

4. CONCLUSIONS

The data was further analyzed for the construction of neighbor joining tree and to infer the evolutionary divergence among the maximum identical sequences retrieved from NCBI Gene Bank through BLAST search. The results of the search revealed that the rbcL sequence of *B. acuminata* has not been sequenced earlier from any part of this world. It is obvious that the rbcL sequence of *B. acuminata* may be used for the identification of this species reported from any part of the world through BLAST analysis if the identical sequences are submitted to Gene Bank in future**.**

REFERENCES

[1] Caceres, D. D., Hancke, J. L., Burgos, R. A., Sandberg, F. and Wikman, G. K. 1999. Use of visual analogue scale measurements (VAS) to assess the effectiveness of standardized Andrographis paniculata extract SHA-10 in reducing the symptoms of common cold. A randomized double blind-placebo study. Phytomedicine 6: 217–223.

[2] W. John-kress and J. Kenneth, *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences*, 8369: 837 (2005) [PMID: 15928076].

[3] M. W. Chase *et al.*, *Annals of the Missouri Botanic Garden,* 80: 528 (1993).

[4] Kress, W. J., Wurdack, K. J., Zimmer, E. A., Weigt, L. A. & Janzen, D. H. (2005). Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **102**, 8369–8374.

[5] Fazekas, A. J., Burgess, K. S., Kesanakurti, P. R., Graham, S.W., Newmaster, S. G., Husband, B. C., Percy, D. M., Hajibabaei, M. & Barrett, S. C. H. (2008). Multiple multilocus DNA barcodes from the plastid genome discriminate plant species equally well. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e2802.

[6] Lahaye, R., Van Der Bank, M., Bogarin, D., Warner, J., Pupulin, F., Gigot, G., Maurin, O., Duthoit, S., Barraclough, T. G. & Savolainen, V. (2008).DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**, 2923–2928.

[7] CBOL Plant Working Group (2009). A DNA barcode for land plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 12794–12797.

[8] Chen, S., Yao, H., Han, J., Liu, C., Song, J., Shi, L., Zhu, Y., Ma, X., Gao, T., Pang, X., Luo, K., Li, Y., Li, X., Jia, X., Lin, Y. & Leon, C. (2010). Validation of the ITS2 region as a novel DNA barcode for identifying medicinal plant species. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e8613.

[9] Blaxter, M. L. (2004). The promise of a DNA taxonomy. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences* **359**, 669–679.

[10] Hollingsworth, P. M., Graham, S. W. & Little, D. P. (2011). Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e19254.

[11] Gielly, L. & Taberlet, P. (1994). The use of chloroplast DNA to resolve plant phylogenies: noncoding versus rbcL sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **11**,769–777.

[12] Renner, S. S. (1999). Circumscription and phylogeny of the Laurales: evidence from molecular and morphological data. *American Journal of Botany* **86**, 1301–1315.

[13] Salazar, G. A., Chase, M. W., Soto Arenas, M. A. & Ingrouille, M. (2003). Phylogenetics of Cranichideae with emphasis on Spiranthinae (Orchidaceae,Orchidoideae): evidence from plastid and nuclear DNA sequences. *American Journal of Botany* **90**, 777–795.

[14] Newmaster, S. G., Fazekas, A. J. & Ragupathy, S. (2006). DNA barcoding in land plants: evaluation of rbcL in a multigene tiered approach. *Canadian Journal ofBotany* **84**, 335–341

[15] Chase, M. W., Cowan, R. S., Hollingsworth, P. M., van den Berg, C., Madrinan, S., Petersen, G., Seberg, O., Jorgsensen, T., Cameron, K. M., Carine, M., Pedersen, N., Hedderson, T. A. J., Conrad, F., Salazar, G. A., Richardson, J. E., Hollingsworth, M. L., Barraclough, T. G., Kelly, L. & Wilkinson, M. (2007). A proposal for a standardised protocol to barcode all land plants. *Taxon* **56**, 295–299.

[16] Kress, W. J. & Erickson, D. L. (2007). A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: the coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnH-psbA spacer region. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e508.

[17] Hollingsworth, M. L., Andra Clark, A., Forrest, L. L., Richardson, J., Pennington, R. T., Long, D. G., Cowan, R., Chase, M. W., Gaudeul, M. & Hollingsworth, P. M. (2009). Selecting barcoding loci for plants: evaluation of seven candidate loci with species-level sampling in three divergent groups of land plants. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **9**, 439–457.

[18] Soosairaj S., Habitat similarity and species distribution analysis in tropical forests of Eastern Ghats, Tamil Nadu: 2005.

[19] ExoSAP-IT – User Guide, GE Healthcare.

[20] BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle sequencing Kit – User Manual, Applied Biosystems.

[21] Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Buxton S, Cheung M, Cooper A, Heled J, Kearse M, Moir R, Stones-Havas S, Sturrock S, Thierer T and Wilson A (2010) Geneious v5.1, Available from http://www.geneious.com.

[22] Saitou N. and Nei M. (**1987**). The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **4**:406-425.

[23] Tamura K., Nei M., and Kumar S. (**2004**). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (*USA*) **101**:11030-11035.

[24] Tamura K., Peterson D., Peterson N., Stecher G., Nei M., and Kumar S. (**2011**). MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731-2739.

[25] Tamura K. and Nei M. (**1993**). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **10**:512-526.

[26] Li FW, Kuo LY, Rothfels CJ, Ebihara A, Chiou WL, Windham MD, Pryer KM:rbcL and matK earn two thumbs up as the core DNA barcode for ferns. 2011, 6(10):e26597.

[27] Tamura K., Nei M., and Kumar S. (**2004**). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (*USA*) **101**:11030-11035.